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vii
May I set free from the round of rebirths those who are in the hells of Sanjīva, Kālasūtra, Sanghāta, Raurava and Avici, or are scattered in the six realms of existence.\(^1\) May I set free from the round of rebirths those who have fully or partially expiated\(^2\) their sins in hell, who are tormented in the states of desolation, who are subject to death, whose bliss is little and misery great. May I live for the welfare of the world, and teach dharma to devas and men. Thus may I convert men as this Light of the world now does\(^3\).

When that auspicious kalpa comes, you will be a Buddha, a guide of the world, in Rishiavadana, a Sākyan of the city named Kapila. Then will your vow be realised.

After living a flawless, faultless, unspotted, unblemished, perfect holy life Jyotipāla died and was reborn in the deva world called Tuṣita as a deva named Śvetaketu, who was of great power and might. He excelled the other devas in the ten heavenly attributes, namely, heavenly length of life, heavenly complexion, heavenly bliss, heavenly majesty, heavenly fame, heavenly form, heavenly voice, and the heavenly senses of smell, taste, and touch. [And the other devas asked him for orders in all cases where an order was necessary.\(^3\)]

This deva named Śvetaketu was learned, accomplished, confident, skilled, and intelligent, and he pursued the religious life under eighty-four thousand Buddhas, not to speak of ninety-six koṭis of Pratyekabuddhas and illustrious disciples.

\[(338)\] Forty thousand Buddhas, guides of the world, passed away, what time the Conqueror lived the holy life in his quest to end existence.

Fifty thousand Buddhas, guides of the world, passed away, and under them the Conqueror fulfilled his time in his quest to end existence.

---

\(^1\) Gatis, see p. 36.

\(^2\) Phuṭipāha, see p. 36.

\(^3\) Senart is undoubtedly right in enclosing this passage in brackets, as it is obviously a gloss meant to explain praṇāṇa (praṇāṇīḥ), which, however, the glossator mistook for the future participle passive of praṇaḥ, "to ask," whereas it is really a Buddhist Sanskrit form for sparśa, and corresponding to Pali praṇātha, "touch." The form praṇāṇa occurs also above p. 31 (text).
and fortnights, nor seasons and years. That, monks, is the appropriate condition of those beings who are self-luminous, move through space, are made of mind, feed on joy, abide in a state of bliss, and go wherever they wish.

Then this great earth came into being like a lake of water, goodly in colour and taste. It was sweet even as the pure\(^1\) honey of the bee. In appearance it was like an expanse of milk or butter.

Then, monks, some being who was wanton and of greedy disposition tasted this essence of earth\(^2\) with his finger. It pleased him by its colour, smell and taste. Now other beings, when they saw what he had done, began to follow his example, and they too tasted this essence of earth with their fingers. They also were pleased, and so on to "taste."

On another occasion, monks, that being ate a whole mouthful of this essence of earth as ordinary food.\(^3\) Other beings, also, when they saw him, began to follow his example, and ate whole mouthfuls of this essence of earth as ordinary food. Now, monks, from the time that these beings began to eat whole mouthfuls of this essence of earth as food, their bodies became heavy, rough and hard, and they lost the qualities of being self-luminous, of moving through space, of being made of mind, of feeding on joy, of being in a state of bliss and of going wherever they wished.\(^4\) When these qualities disappeared the moon and sun became known, and consequently the forms of the stars, the paths of the constellations, night and day, months and fortnights, and the seasons and years.

These beings, monks, lived on a very long time feeding on this essence of earth, it being the source of their appearance, nourishment and sustenance. Those who took much of it for food became ugly; those who ate little became comely. And those who were comely scoffed at the ugly saying, "We

\(^1\) *Anedaha*, see note p. 211.

\(^2\) *Praḫītrīra*. The parallel Pali version (D. 3. 85) has *rasapāthaka* which is translated (Dial. 3. 82) as "savory earth." In S. 1. 134 *pāṭhaṇāra* is used of the earth's surface or humus which receives and nourishes the fallen seed. The *Pali Dictionary* rendering of "essence of earth" suits the *Mahāvastu* context very well, as it expresses the inchoate state of the earth at the time.

\(^3\) *Kāraṇamāhāram*. For this sense of *kāraṇa* Senart compares *sanmādi-hāram* (p. 345), "en provision," "par provision."

\(^4\) The text repeats their enumeration.

are comely; they are ugly." But while they thus lived on, proud of their beauty, vain and conceited, this essence of earth vanished.

Then there appeared on the surface of the earth an excrecence,\(^1\) like honey\(^2\) in appearance. This was goodly of colour and smell, and it was sweet like the pure honey of the bee.

And, monks, when the essence of earth had vanished those beings exclaimed, "Ah! What flavour it had! Ah! What flavour it had!" Even as men now do, when they are satisfied after eating good food, and proclaim "Ah! What flavour it had! Ah! What flavour it had!" Thus does that ancient primeval\(^3\) expression become current once more, although men do not understand the significance of it.

And so, monks,\(^4\) those beings lived on a very long time feeding on this excrecence on the surface of the earth, it being the source of their appearance, nourishment and sustenance. Those who ate much of it became ugly; those who ate little, comely. And those who were comely scoffed at those who were ugly, saying, "We are comely, they are ugly."

While they thus lived on, proud of their beauty, vain and conceited, the excrecence on the surface of the earth vanished, and in its place a creeping-plant appeared, like the bamboo in appearance. It was goodly of colour, smell and taste. It was sweet as the pure honey of the bee.

When the excrecence on the surface of the earth had disappeared those beings groaned, "Alas! Oh! Alas! Oh!" Just as now, when men are afflicted by any calamity, they groan, "Alas! Oh! Alas! Oh!" In this way does that ancient primeval expression become current once more, although men do not understand the significance of it. Thus, then, did those beings, when the excrecence on the surface of the earth had disappeared, groan, "Alas! Oh! Alas! Oh!"

Now, monks, when the excrecence on the surface of the earth had disappeared, those beings went on living for a very

\(^1\) *Pṛṣṇāraka*, a reading which Senart, without being aware of the Pali *papajāka* (D. 3. 87), established for the *pṛṣṇāraka* of the MSS., basing his conjecture on Sanskrit *purāṇā*, which the lexicographers give as meaning not only "a medicinal plant" but also "fragrant substance" and "perfumed earth."

\(^2\) *Chātra* = *chātra*, "a tree from Honig" (Böhtlingk and Roth).

\(^3\) Making the obvious emendation of *agninayam* into *agnajām Pali aggañña*, "recognised as primitive," "primeval." *(Pali Dictionary)*
long time on the creeping-plant, which became the source of their appearance, nourishment and sustenance. Those who ate much of it became ugly; those who ate little, comely. And those who were comely scoffed at those who were ugly, saying, “We are comely, they are ugly.” While they thus became proud of their beauty, vain and conceited, the creeping-plant vanished.

In its place there appeared rice(342) which was without powder or husk, being just fragrant grain. If it was cropped at evening, by the morning it had sprouted, ripened and fully grown, without any signs of its having been cut. If it was cropped in the morning, by the evening it had sprouted, ripened and fully grown, without any signs of its having been cut.

Now, monks, at the disappearance of the creeping-plant, those beings groaned, “Alas! Oh! Alas! Oh!” Even as men now do when they are afflicted by any calamity. In this way does an ancient primeval expression become current once more, although men do not understand the significance of it.

Then, monks, after the disappearance of the creeping-plant, those beings lived on a very long time feeding on the rice which was without powder or husk, but was just fragrant grain. And from the time that they did so,1 the distinguishing characteristics of female and male appeared among them. They looked on one another with inordinate passion in their hearts. Looking on one another with passion in their hearts they became inflamed with passion for one another. Becoming inflamed with passion they violated one another.

And, monks, those who witnessed them violating one another, threw sticks at them, and clods of earth and mud. For, my friends, wrong and sin appear in the world when one being violates another. Just as now, monks, when the young bride is being carried away, people throw sticks and clods. In this way does an ancient primeval custom2 become current once more, although men do not understand the significance of it. Then, indeed, this was considered immoral, irreligious and irregular, but now it is considered moral, religious, and regular.

---

1 Text repeats the preceding sentence.
2 Aḥāra, translated above in its usual sense of “expression.” But “custom” is not wholly unconnected with its primary sense of “non-transitory,” “durable,” “lasting.”
while we were thus self-luminous, moved through space, were made of mind, fed on joy, lived in bliss, and went wherever we wished, the moon and sun were not known in the world, nor the forms of the stars, nor the paths of the constellations, nor day and night, months and fortnights, nor seasons and years.

"Then this great earth appeared, like a lake of water. In appearance it was like an expanse of butter or milk, and had a goodly colour, smell and taste. It was as sweet as the pure honey of the bee. But, friends, some being who was wanton and of greedy disposition tasted this essence of earth with his finger, and it delighted him with its colour, smell and taste. Then that being on another occasion ate a whole mouthful of this essence of earth as ordinary food. And we, seeing him, followed his example and ate whole mouthfuls of this essence of earth as ordinary food.

"Now, friends, from the time that we began to eat whole mouthfuls of this essence of earth as ordinary food, our bodies acquired weight, roughness and hardness, while the attributes we had before of being self-luminous, of moving through space, of being made of mind, of feeding on joy, of living in a state of bliss, and of going wherever we wished, were lost. And with the loss of these attributes, \(^1\) moon and sun became known in the world(345), and the forms of the stars, the paths of the constellations, days and nights, months and fortnights, and seasons and years.

"Friends, we lived on for a very long time feeding on that essence of earth, which was the source of our appearance, our nourishment and our sustenance. But when wrong and sinful states came to be known among men,\(^2\) when wrong and sinful states came to be known among us, then this essence of earth disappeared. And in its place there appeared an excrecence on the surface of the earth, like honey in appearance and of goodly colour and smell. It was as sweet as the pure honey of the bee.

"For a very long time, friends, we lived on that excrecence, which was the source of our appearance, nourishment and sustenance. But when wrong and sinful states came to be known among men, then the excrecence on the earth disappeared. And in its place there appeared a creeping-plant, like the bamboo in appearance, goodly of colour, smell and taste. It was as sweet as the pure honey of the bee.

"And for a very long time, friends, we lived on that creeping-plant, which was the source of our appearance, nourishment and sustenance. But when wrong and sinful states came to be known among men, when wrong and sinful states came to be known among us, then did this creeping-plant disappear. In its place rice appeared, which was without powder or husk, being just fragrant grain. If this was cropped at evening, by the morning it had sprouted, ripened and fully grown, without any signs of its having been cut.

"For a very long time, friends, we lived on this rice, which was without powder or husk, but was just fragrant grain, and it was the source of our appearance, nourishment(346) and sustenance. But when wrong and sinful states came to be known among men, powder and husk began to envelop the rice. And now when cropped at evening it did not sprout, ripen and fully grow by the morning, while the signs of its having been cut were clearly seen. Nor when cropped in the morning did it sprout, ripen and fully grow by the evening, while the signs of its having been cut were clearly seen.

"What if we were now to divide the rice-fields and set boundaries to them? Let us allot this field to you, and this to ourselves." And so, monks, they set boundaries to the rice-fields, saying, "This field is yours, this is ours."

Then, monks, this thought occurred to some being who had gone to gather rice: "What will become of me? How shall I get a living, if my plot of rice fails? What if now I were to steal and take another's? " And so, monks, while he was watching over his own plot of rice, he stole and took another's.

---

\(^1\) Text repeats in full.

\(^2\) Literally "among them," sānam. Not necessarily a use of the 3rd person for the 1st. Apparently the whole phrase has been inadvertently repeated from its first occurrence when it had an objective application, in which case its further repetition here with mo, "among us," is an explanatory interpolation. Mo is frequently 1st pers. plural in the Mahāvastu.
Another being saw him steal and take another’s rice, and when he had seen him, he went to him and said, “Indeed, good being, you have stolen and taken another’s rice.” And he replied, “Yes, good being, but it will not happen again.”

But, monks, the thought occurred to him a second time when he had gone to gather rice: “What will become of me? How shall I get a living, if my plot of rice fails? What if now I were to steal and take another’s rice?” And a second time did that being, while watching over his own plot, steal and take another’s rice.

That other being saw him thus a second time steal and take another’s rice, and when he had seen him, he went to him and said, “Good being, it is the second time that you have stolen and taken another’s rice.” And a second time, monks, did he reply, “Yes, but it will not happen again.”

But a third time, monks, did the thought occur to that being when he had gone to gather rice: “What will become of me? How shall I get a living if my plot of rice fails? What if now I were to steal and take another’s rice?” And so a third time did that being while watching over his own plot steal and take another’s rice.

The other being saw him thus a third time steal and take another’s rice, and when he had seen him he went to him and beat him with a stick, saying, “Good being, this is the third time you have stolen and taken another’s rice.” Then, monks, he stretched out his arms, wailed, and cried, “Sir, wrong and injustice have made their appearance in the world, now that violence is known.” But, monks, the other being, throwing his stick on the ground, stretched out his arms, wailed and cried out, “Sir, it is when theft and falsehood make their appearance in the world that wrong and injustice are known.”

And so, monks, the three wrong and sinful states of theft, falsehood, and violence made their first appearance in the world.

Then, monks, those beings hurriedly gathered together and took counsel. “Friends,” said they, “what if we were to select him who is most kind-hearted among us, and most authoritative, to reprove whoever among us deserves reproof, and to approve whoever deserves approval?” And we will assign 1 to him a portion of the rice in the fields of each of us.”

And so, monks, those beings selected him 2 who was the most kind-hearted and authoritative among them, and said to him, “Let your majesty reprove whosoever among us deserves reproof, and approve whosoever deserves approval. We elect you to sovereignty over us all, and we give you a sixth part of the rice in the fields of each of us.”

So originated the idea that Mahā-Sammata 3 means “elected by the great body of the people.” So originated the idea that rājan means he who is worthy 4 of the rice-portions from the rice-fields. So originated the idea that an anointed [noble] 5 means he who is a perfect guardian and protector. So originated the idea that he who achieves security for his country 6 is as a parent to towns and provinces. That is how a king can say, “I am king, an anointed noble, and one who has achieved security for my people.”

The son of King Sammata was Kalyāna, whose son was Rava. Rava’s son was Upoṣadha, and Upoṣadha’s son was King Māndhātar. 6

King Māndhātar had many thousand sons, grandsons, and grandsons’ grandsons, all of them kings. The last of these was Ikṣvākū, styled Sujāta, king in the great city of Śāketa.

---

1 The text has desaye cāyam, “and he shall designate,” which is not satisfactory in view of what is explicitly said later that the rice portions were assigned by the owners themselves. Senart, therefore, proposes deseyama vayam or dasayama vayam, and this has been followed in the translation.

2 The first king of the present age, and the progenitor of the Śākyan clan, his name being here explained from his having been thus “elected” or “selected” (sammata).

3 i.e., arahati, “he deserves or merits” is here taken to be etymologically connected with rājan, “king.”

4 There is a lacuna in the text, but Senart makes the obvious conjecture and supplies kṣatriya, for this seems just the word the sacrificer etymology requires to connect with rāhasthi, “to protect.”

5 Senart prints the form jana-padasthānāvātṛyārpaṇa, which, however, as he says in his note, is inexplicable in this context. He cites the form jana-padasthānāvātṛyārpaṇa given in some other MSS, and interprets this as “qui exerce sur le pays l’autorité de l’âge.” That the latter form is the correct one is proved by the Pali jana-padasthānāvā, literally “security of a country,” i.e., an appreased country as one of the blessings of the reign of a Cakravattin (see Pali Dictionary for references). It seems better to give śāhasthi here its primary sense of “exuity,” “security,” etc. (v śāh), rather than the derivative one of “age.” (Cf. Pali therā and thāvāra.)

6 The genealogy in most Pali texts is, Mahā-Sammata, Roja, Vararōja, Kalyāna, Vara-kalyāna, Upoṣadha and Māndhātar. (D.P.N.)

7 The Pali of Ohkawa, “although it is unlikely that the latter is identical with the Ikṣvākū of the Purāṇas, the immediate son of Manu.” (D.P.N.) The story here given, with some differences in nomenclature, follows pretty closely that in the Pali texts.